

Remarks

In response to the non-final office action of May 22, 2006, Applicant submits this paper. Claims 1-2 and 9-15 remain pending. New claim 16 has been added. Support for claim 16 is found in the specification as originally presented. No new matter has been entered. Claims 12, 13, and 15 have been amended. Claims 13 and 15 have been amended to overcome the §112 rejection. Claim 12 has been amended to overcome a grammatical issue. Claim 12 has not been narrowed.

Rejection of Claims under 35 U.S.C. §112

Claims 13 and 15 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112. Claims 13 and 15 have been amended to overcome this rejection.

Rejection of Claims under 35 U.S.C. §102

Claims 1, 9, and 11-12 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102 as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,292,827 to Raz. Applicant traverses the rejection.

Claim 1 recites:

“ wherein said form content and said HTML content are displayed in a Java applet execution in a browser.”

The Examiner asserts Raz discloses HTML content displayed in a Java applet execution in a browser. However, Raz fails to disclose this recitation. Rather, Raz describes the display of two frames of a browser with HTML content in the first frame and a Java applet execution in the second frame:

“Upon linking to a WWW site, the screen displays the site in a frame on the upper portion of the screen, with the Java-based navigation GUI panel interface in a frame on the lower portion of

the screen. The GUI is designed to provide the essential functions for Web Browsing in an easy to understand manner, with all browser toolbars and menus removed from the screen. This feature is achieved by first loading a special HTML page which consists of two frames. The lower frame always displays a Java applet containing a multimedia GUI, which fully controls the upper frame, which contains the linked HTML page.” See column 10, lines 56-67.

In contrast to the claim language, Raz fails to disclose the HTML content in the Java applet execution. While the first frame is controlled by the Java applet, there is no disclosure of the HTML content being displayed in the Java applet execution. Accordingly, claim 1 is allowable over Raz.

Independent claim 9 recites:

“ wherein said processor receives form content from a Java applet and HTML content from said Java applet, combines said form content and said HTML content in a browser program and outputs the combined content to said display.”

Raz fails to disclose said processor receiving form content and HTML content from said Java applet. Rather, it appears that the Java applet sends instructions to said processor to control said HTML content in said first frame (from column 6, lines 41-42, of Raz) but fails to clearly disclose that both form content and HTML content are received from the Java applet. Accordingly, claim 9 is allowable over Raz.

Dependent claims 11-12 and new claim 16 are allowable over Raz for at least this reason.

Claim 12 recites:

“ wherein said HTML content is rendered inside said Java execution...”

Raz fails to disclose the HTML content being rendered inside said Java execution as claimed. Rather, Raz discloses the HTML content being rendered in the first frame and the Java execution being rendered in the second frame. As Raz fails to disclose at least this recitation of claim 12, claim 12 is allowable over Raz.

Rejection of Claims under 35 U.S.C. §103

Claims 2, 10, and 13-15 stand rejected as being obvious over the combination of Raz in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,247,020 to Minard. Applicant traverses the rejection.

The Examiner relies on Minard to teach the use of tabs within a designing environment (specifically, RAD - rapid application deployment - of Java-based applications). Applicant notes that Minard fails to teach the display of HTML content in a Java execution. Rather, Minard executes Java to display HTML in a browser window. There is no HTML content associated with a Java execution in Minard. As Minard fails to provide the teachings missing from Raz, dependent claims 2, 10, and 13-15 are allowable over the combination of Raz and Minard.

All rejections have been addressed. If the Examiner has any questions, he is invited to contact the undersigned to further the prosecution of the invention.

Please charge any fee associated with the filing of this paper to our Deposit Account No. 19-0733.

Respectfully submitted,

By: /Christopher R. Glembocki/
Christopher R. Glembocki
Registration No. 38,800

BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD.
1001 G Street, N.W., 11th Floor
Washington, D.C. 20001
(202) 824-3000
Dated: October 23, 2006